IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1016 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.923 OF 2006

Hanif Maqbool Ahmed Siddiqui ...Applicant

vs.

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Mr.M.H.Bandekar for the Applicant
Mr.S.V.More A.P.P. for State

CORAM: A.S.OKA,J.
DATE : OCTOBER 7,2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard Advocate for the Applicant and the learned A.P.P. for State. The Applicant has been convicted for the offences punishable under section 452, 392 and 342 of the Indian Penal Code. The sentences have been directed to run concurrently. The maximum substantive sentence is of two years. From the impugned order, it is clear that the Applicant has undergone four and half months in custody. The learned A.P.P. states that three other offences have been registered against the Applicant. However, there is no allegation of misuse of bail granted during the pendency of trial.

2. Considering the fact that out of substantive sentence of two years, the Applicant has undergone four and half months and that he was on bail throughout the trial, a case is made out for grant of bail subject to stringent conditions.

3. Hence I pass the following order :

i) The Applicant shall be enlarged on bail in the sum of Rs.7,500/- with one or two local sureties in the like amount.

ii) Bail is granted subject to the condition that the applicant will report to Dindoshi Police Station, Goregaon on first and third Saturday of every calendar month till the disposal of the Appeal.

JUDGE